

I. CALL TO ORDER

Mayor Cobb called the meeting to order at 7:00 pm in the City Council Chambers.

II. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

The Mayor and City Council led in the Pledge of Allegiance to the American flag.

III. ROLL CALL

Mayor Cobb
Council member Mike Wells

Council member Karen Ables
Council member Moore

Council member Sorum, Council member Prax and Council McCann were absent and excused.

Also Present:

John Hozey City Manger
Sheri Pierce, City Clerk
Craig , Acting City Attorney

IV. PUBLIC BUSINESS FROM THE FLOOR

Summit to Sound Challenge

Meg Weaver and Magdalena McCay thanked all city departments for their participation in this annual event. She also thanked local businesses and over 40 volunteers for their support. Ms. Weaver stated that 93 racers competed in the race this year. Ms. McCay stated that the race organizers will strive to grow the event each year.

Military Appreciation Day - Sandy Moore

Ms. Sandy Moore reminded the council and the public that the annual Military Appreciation Day will be held on Saturday, June 9th at the Kelsey Dock plaza. She thanked the Charter Association and private boat owners who are taking over 70 active duty members of the military on fishing excursions on Sunday. She also thanked Crowley Marine and the City of Valdez for their sponsorship of this event. Council member Moore asked for a written list of volunteers and sponsors of this event.

Patricia Relay - Community Foundation

Ms. Relay stated that a community foundation is an impartial entity that brings donors and causes together. The donor's wishes are strictly enforced. Ms. Relay stated that there are many "community foundations" established in the lower 48, but to Alaska it is a relatively new concept. The Alaska Community Foundation was established in 1995 and is based in Anchorage. It has become a statewide platform for philanthropy. Their mission is to grow philanthropy and connect people who care with causes that matter. The foundation helps individuals, organizations and communities create funds that provide financial resources to improve the quality of life here in Alaska. Since 2008, the Alaska Community Foundation has supported community based philanthropy which is called a "Community Affiliate Fund" and was started by the Rasmussen Foundation. Five affiliate communities have been established; the Chilkat Valley, Kenai Peninsula, Petersburg, Seward and the Upper Susitna. The Alaska Community Foundation provides the financial management, investment oversight and legal expertise for these community programs. Ms. Relay stated that the Alaska Community Foundation will be holding two public meetings in Valdez, on June 7th at the Senior Center, and on June 8th at the Valdez museum. Ms. Babbie Jacobs, Program Officer, will be present to answer questions and learn about our community.

V. CITY MANAGER/CITY CLERK/CITY ATTORNEY/MAYOR REPORTS

Gasline Development

The City is working on developing a "Gasline Summit" to be held in Valdez this September. Mr. Hozey is working on developing contracts with a local web designer and with a conference event coordinator who specializes in developing oil and gas conferences.

Assisted Care Living Task Force

Mr. Hozey stated that he has received a final draft report back from the consultant on this project. The Sub-Committee will be meeting to discuss and review the financial portion of the report prior to submission to the full task force.

Beautification Committee

The task force is working on the preparation of a progress report to be presented to the city council at their July 2nd meeting.

Assistant City Manager

Mr. Hozey stated that he had promoted Mr. Todd Wagner to the position of Assistant City Manager. Mr. Wagner's prior position as Parks and Recreation

Director will be advertised for replacement.

City Clerk

Ms. Pierce reminded the City Council that the "City Night" for the Last Frontier Theatre Conference will be held on Wednesday, June 13th. The City dinner will be hosted by the Totem Inn with a reception at the museum following the evening play. Council members are invited to attend all events during theatre conference week.

VI. CONSENT AGENDA

1. Approval to go into Executive Session Regarding TAPS Value/Litigation
2. Appointment to the Planning and Zoning Commission

Mayor Cobb stated that the executive session would be removed from the consent agenda at the request of legal counsel.

MOTION: Council member Wells moved, seconded by Council member Moore, to approve all items on the Consent Agenda.

VOTE ON THE MOTION: 4 yeas, 3 absent (Sorum/Prax/McCann). Motion carried.

VII. NEW BUSINESS

1. Approval of Contract with Wolverine Supply for Design Assistance and Construction of the Valdez Senior Center Carport in an Amount not to Exceed \$950,000

MOTION: Council member Wells moved, seconded by Council member Ables, to approve a contract with Wolverine Supply for design assistance and construction for the Valdez Senior Center carport in an amount not to exceed \$950,000.

Council member Wells asked Mr. Hozey to explain the new procurement process being used for this project. Mr. Hozey stated that the City had issued a request for proposals for this project before the completion of the design. This process will allow the contractor to have input during the design phase of the project. This will allow the contractor to begin site work and start ordering material and long lead items during the design phase, not after. It is hoped that this process will greatly reduce the time it normally takes to complete a project and hopefully allow the contractor and the design architect to work together, thereby reducing change orders and cost overruns.

VOTE ON THE MOTION: 4 yeas, 3 absent (Sorum/Prax/McCann). Motion

carried.

2. Approval to Purchase Two High Speed Turbo Blowers for the Sewer Treatment Plant from Aerzen USA Corporation in the Amount of \$115,039

MOTION: Council member Moore moved, seconded by Council member Wells, to approve the purchase of two high speed turbo blowers for the Sewer Treatment Plant from Aerzen USA Corporation in the amount of \$115,039.

Council member Moore asked if the city was replacing original equipment. Mr. Weaver, Public Works Director, replied in the affirmative.

VOTE ON THE MOTION: 4 yeas, 3 absent (Sorum/Prax/McCann). Motion carried.

3. Approval of Jail Services Contract with the State of Alaska

MOTION: Council member Moore moved, seconded by Council member Wells to approve the Jail Services contract with the State of Alaska.

VOTE ON THE MOTION: 4 yeas, 3 absent (Sorum/Prax/McCann). Motion carried.

4. Approval of Change Order with URS for Lowe River Flood Mitigation in the Amount of \$85,000

MOTION: Council member Wells moved, seconded by Council member Moore, to approve a change order with URS for Lowe River flood mitigation in the amount of \$85,000.00.

Mr. Hozey stated that this change order was part of a larger discussion that was conducted at the last meeting, and that these items were needed to move the levy project forward. Council member Moore asked the funding source of this change order. Mr. Hozey replied that the city had received State grants in the amount of 1.1 million, in addition to city funds which will be allocated to the project by resolution later in the meeting.

Council member Ables said she had received a call from Mr Wade regarding this agenda item. She stated that she had questions she needed clarification on. She asked if this item was tied to the next two items on the agenda. Mr. Hozey replied that this change order dealt strictly with engineering services and was not connected to the certification of the levee.

Ms. Lisa VonBargen, Community Development Director, stated that this contract extension provides for minor design changes, preparation of bid documents for

construction, assistance in obtaining necessary permits and construction support services.

Mr. Rick Wade, 10 Mile resident, stated that at the last meeting he stood behind the effort to move forward on the dike; however he had serious issues with the contracts being proposed this evening. Mr. Wade said that in spite of the fact that many discussions have been held with URS engineers regarding the proper height and position of the dike, they have ignored concerns voiced by the residents and continue to proceed with designing the dike at an inappropriate level. Mr. Wade said he recently spent 2 hours with Lisa VonBargen at the dike site to discuss the elevation of the proposed dike. Mr. Wade stated that past performance by URS on this project should be taken into consideration. He asked that the council not move forward with this firm and suggested the city consider other options.

Mr. Wade stated that URS was the engineering firm that designed the culverts in Alpine Woods. Due to design problems, some larger culverts were buried deeper into the stream bed negating their purpose, to avoid mounding the road. The larger culverts designed by this firm have created a dangerous deep vertical drop into the water.

Mr. Wade stated that he did not know what the definition of "FEMA certified" means. He suggested the city not move forward in awarding the next two contracts for certification services until a better understanding of the need for certification is obtained.

Council member Ables said she did not understand all of the technical issues; however she felt that safety was of vital concern. She stated that she could not support the issuance of this contract or the next two agenda items based on Mr. Wade's concerns.

Mayor Cobb asked Mr. Wade what had changed between last meeting and this evening regarding the project. Mr. Wade said his stance has not changed, he agreed that the city could always add to the elevation of the dike later as long as the base is correct. His position has not changed regarding the decision to move forward on the levy, however he did not believe that URS was the engineering firm the city should retain to complete the project. He asked that the city also rethink the decision to obtain FEMA certification. Mayor Cobb asked Mr. Hozey what the ramifications would be to not obtain certification. Mr. Hozey responded that certification by FEMA would allow the residents to obtain flood insurance while living in a flood plain. Mr. Hozey stated that it would not save the city money to hire a new engineer who may need to start from scratch and in fact the project would be delayed until the new engineering firm was brought up to speed. Mr. Hozey pointed out that URS had done exactly as they have been told by city administration under the direction of the city council. He agreed that up to this point the engineers have not responded to what the residents wanted, because

the firm took their direction from the city. However, all design work from this point forward will take into account the issues raised by the residents. He reiterated that the design firm has done just what the city has asked of them.

Council member Wells asked if the first amount of groin work on the dike was built to specification. Mr. Hozey stated it was built exactly to design, however Mr. Wade was right that there was a communication issue between the city and residents regarding the height of that portion of dike. Mr. Hozey stated that the dike was constructed at a level below that of the resident's expectations, but to the level directed by the city council.

Council member Wells stated that his understanding of the initial plans was that the dike would be constructed at a level equivalent to 2006 plus three feet. Mr. Hozey said this was a confusing point, because this equation did not take into consideration the failure of the 12 mile dike. The 10 mile dike system was never meant to be a redundant system for the 12 mile dike. So the project was designed and built to the 500 year flood level assuming the 12 mile dike stayed in place. Under that assumption, the 10 mile dike was in fact built to the 2006 level plus 3 feet. Mr. Hozey said that part of the proposed levee certification would be to find out how FEMA would respond to the dependency of this levy relying on the structural integrity of the 12 mile dike. If this is a concern, the city will need to address it.

Council member Wells said that he believed the outcome of the last meeting was to move forward with the same level of dike with a deeper toed slope, work through the FEMA process, and approach the State of Alaska regarding the integrity of the reconstructed 12 mile dike. Council member Wells said he did not want to risk delaying the project for another year. Council member Wells stated that when he asked the engineer if the dike as designed and constructed could be increased in height just by adding more material he was assured that this could be easily done without major adjustments to the work already completed. Mr. Wade agreed that this is what he also understood from the conversation with the engineer at the last council meeting. Council member Wells asked Mr. Wade if they were in agreement about the current location and alignment of the dike and that the city should move ahead with the project to close the gap between groins one and three. Mr. Wade answered in the affirmative. Mr. Wade stated that he was concerned about spending funds for levee certification when he was not convinced that residents could not obtain flood insurance without going through this process. Mr. Wade said he did not believe that hiring another engineer would require the project to start over and cause a year long delay. Mr. Wade said that the city should not be in a big hurry, such as was done with the sewer problems. Mr. Wade said he had issues with the design completed by URS and the model they used during the engineering process. Mr. Wade said the model did not accurately depict where the flood waters actually converged into the subdivision. Mr. Wade stated that URS will not listen to the testimony of the residents who witnessed the event. Council member Wells stated that if he

understood Mr. Wade correctly, he was asking for a third-party evaluation, which is what the FEMA certification process will accomplish. Mr. Wade responded that he believed URS to be the FEMA designated national contractor; therefore he believed there may be a conflict of interest.

Council member Ables asked if the elevation has changed after meeting with the residents. Mr. Wade said no, the engineer is still insisting that the current elevation is safe. Mr. Wade said that currently the top of the dike is currently a little below where the flood water was when it should be constructed to be three feet above. Mr. Wade said he did not believe there was a misunderstanding by the council due to the fact that all the plans presented were stamped with the words "three feet above the 06 flood level". Council member Wells said that the communications disconnect was that the plans were drawn to be "three feet above the 06 flood level" with the assumption that the 12 mile dike stayed in place. Mr. Wade said he did not know who told them to discount the possible erosion of the 12 mile dike in their plans. Council member Ables said the bottom line is that the dike is lower than the banks of the river. Mr. Hozey challenged the validity of this statement. Ms. VonBargen stated that she did not know the actual elevation, but that by eyesight the dike appears to be at about the same level as the river.

Council member Moore said that if she had a crystal ball, she would have agreed with former Council member Craddock's suggestion that the City buy out all of the property owners in this subdivision due to the danger of flooding. Council member Moore said that the State was supposed to have re-built the dike to the actual specifications it was engineered to, which was a better condition than the dike actually was in prior to the 2006 failure of the structure.

Council member Wells asked Ms. VonBargen if the FEMA process would include analyzing the State 12 mile dike and the potential for failure and flooding. And, if the 12 mile dike was found to be non-certifiable due to the likelihood that it may fail, the city would then be required to raise the freeboard. Ms. VonBargen said she believed FEMA would be looking at the city's ability to effect change if something is failing. Ms. VonBargen said the city does not have the ability to dictate to the State of Alaska that the 12 mile dike should be constructed or reinforced to protect the subdivision, when in fact the primary purpose of that dike is to protect only the State highway. Ms. VonBargen suggested that the City of Valdez is going to need to negotiate an agreement with the State of Alaska which enables the city to bring their resources to bear in the event there is eminent danger of flooding of the subdivision. Ms. VonBargen said that right now, the city does not have that capacity.

Mr. Wade said that in 1991 a Flood Task Force existed. He asked that this task force be regenerated and tasked with working with FEMA instead of an out of town contractor. Mr. Wade said the task force could determine exactly what FEMA will require. Mr. Wade used an example that it did not make sense for the

City to spend 20 million dollars to get 100 dollars off of his flood insurance.

Mayor Cobb said he believed that without FEMA certification it may jeopardize future federal funding, increase insurance rates for residents, and possibly disqualify the city for any future state funding for this project. Mayor Cobb said he was extremely concerned that the residents of the area remain vulnerable to disaster by flooding. Mayor Cobb said he understand Mr. Wade's objection to the engineering firm, however they were not present this evening to rebut any comments. He asked Mr. Wade if he was willing to wait another year to complete the dike. Mr. Wade said that all the residents should have a say, but he felt that it would be worth the delay to receive the right product. Mayor Cobb said he agreed that the solution should be safe but his worry about the river rising to flood level again was urging him to push forward. Mr. Wade said it would be prudent to know what the FEMA standards are.

Council member Wells agreed that the city needed to move forward. He stated that closing the gap between groin one and groin three needed to happen as soon as possible. Mr. Wade said he agreed. Council member Wells stated that if it is determined after discussions with FEMA the dike needs to be raised, this can be accomplished later.

Mr. Wade stated that he was basing his concerns on past performance by the proposed contractor.

Mr. Hozey stated that he wanted the council to understand that approving these agenda items will not guarantee that the project will be finished this year. The city will try, but there are still permitting issues which must be worked through prior to construction. But, Mr. Hozey stated, changing engineering contractors now would definitely delay the project until next year.

Alan Crume, 10 mile resident, said that he thought that flood insurance could be purchased without FEMA certification, but that the cost would be exorbitant. He stated that disaster aid may be denied without certification. Mr. Crume said he had reviewed all of the URS documents and based on the current design the dike would have only 1 foot of additional freeboard. The original design called for the dike to be built seven foot above ground level, the one proposed last week had been reduced by two feet. Mr. Crume stated that change order after change order has been issued to URS and it was important that the city be vigilant to assure the dike is constructed as proposed in the original design.

Mayor Cobb asked Mr. Wade and Mr. Crume to develop a list of questions which they feel need to be addressed and submit this list to the city manager and Lisa VonBargen. Council member Wells reiterated that it was important to get this list as soon as possible to move forward with the project.

Mr. Crume stated that he understood the need, but did not want the FEMA

certification process to slow down the project.

VOTE ON THE MOTION: 4 yea, 3 absent (Sorum/Prax/McCann). Motion carried.

5. Approval of Contract Award with URS for Lowe River Levee Certification and Letter of Map Revision in the Amount of \$94,000

MOTION: Council member Wells moved, seconded by Council member Moore, to approve a contract award with URS for Lowe River levee certification and letter of map revision in the amount of \$94,000.00.

Council member Wells stated that the contract indicated that an “operations maintenance plan” must be established as part of the certification process. He asked if this plan would need to be approved by the city council. Ms. VonBargen replied that she believed it did. Council member Wells pointed out that this would provide an opportunity for the public to review and comment on the plan. Mayor Cobb agreed that there should be an opportunity for public input.

Council member Ables asked if the project would be put on hold until the FEMA certification is obtained. Mayor Cobb said certification could not occur until after the structure is completed. However, the city would be made aware of the FEMA criteria during the construction process. Ms. VonBargen responded that the actual approval by FEMA could take as long as two years after completion of the dike. Council member Ables said her instincts tell her that URS is not doing the job they should be doing, therefore she cannot support issuing this contract.

Council member Wells stated that if there is a problem with the design and the construction of the dike, FEMA will find it. Before the certification is issued by FEMA, if corrections are in order the city must bring the dike into compliance. Council member Wells pointed out that if this contract is approved by council, the FEMA certification process will move forward.

Mr. Crume stated that URS should be able to guarantee that their design will pass FEMA criteria to receive certification. Mayor Cobb said he agreed. Mr. Hozey responded that up until now FEMA certification was not requested by the city, so prior designs were not affected. Mr. Wade said there should be a list or general understanding of what the FEMA requirements are. Therefore, because URS is a nationwide contractor they should know the criteria, and already applied into the design. Mr. Wade suggested that the council wait on this contract until the project is completed.

Mr. Hozey said the city didn't ask URS to design the dike to FEMA criteria. Mr. Hozey said that the FEMA requirements have probably changed rapidly over the last few years. Mayor Cobb said it was important to obtain this information now.

Council member Ables asked the City Clerk to explain the difference between failing and postponing action on this item. Ms. Pierce responded that a motion to postpone would move the item onto the next meeting agenda for consideration. Council member Wells said by moving forward with certification any changes suggested by FEMA during the process can be applied in the next process of bidding for design and construction of the next set of groin work.

Mr. Wade reiterated that URS was the contractor presently working with FEMA on developing the FEMA criteria; therefore they should know what it takes to become certified. Mr. Hozey stated that if this was true, their knowledge could expedite the process.

Council member Ables asked if the city must use the same contractor if changes are required to comply with FEMA certification. Mayor Cobb asked why the city would consider changing contractors. Council member Ables said she was concerned with the number of change orders that have been issued to URS on this project.

VOTE ON THE MOTION: 3 yea, 1 nay (Ables), 3 absent Sorum/Prax/McCann). Motion failed.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION: Council member Wells asked that this item be moved to the next regular meeting agenda for reconsideration. Following the rules for reconsideration as stated in the City Council Policy and Procedures, the item will be moved to the meeting of June 18, 2012.

6. Approval of Contract Award with Wrangell Mountain Technical Services for Lowe River Levee Certification in the Amount of \$95,000

MOTION: Council member Wells moved, seconded by Council member Moore, to approve a contract award with Wrangell Mountain Technical Services for Lowe River levee certification in the amount of \$95,000.00

Mr. Hozey stated that this agenda item was linked to the passage of the previous item.

MOTION TO POSTPONE: Council member Wells moved, seconded by Council member Ables, to postpone this item to the meeting of June 18, 2012.

VOTE ON THE MOTION TO POSTPONE: 4 yea, 3 absent McCann/Prax/Sorum). Motion carried.

7. Approval of Donation of 1981 Spartan Fire Engine 4 to Gakona Fire Department

MOTION: Council member Ables moved, seconded by Council member Moore, to approve the donation of a 1981 Spartan Fire Engine 4 to Gakona Fire Department.

VOTE ON THE MOTION: 4 yea, 3 absent (Prax/McCann/Sorum). Motion carried.

VIII. ORDINANCES

1. #12-01 - Amending Chapter 4, Section 4.08.010 of The Valdez Municipal Code Related To The Definitions Of Appraised Value And Fair Market Value For the Lease Of City Owned Real Property. First Reading; Public Hearing.

MOTION: Council member Wells moved, seconded by Council member Moore, to approve Ordinance #12-01 at first reading, public hearing.

Council member Ables asked for an explanation of this ordinance.

Ms. VonBargen stated that a number of years ago a lessee was kind enough to advise the City of a "language discrepancy" in his lease document. This discrepancy deals with the difference between assessed value and appraised value when it comes to determining the rental rate of City owned leased property. His lease document stated that the rental rate (to be determined every five years) shall be determined by the most recent value on the City of Valdez tax assessment roll. The City of Valdez has determined that ten other leases share this language. And, the definition of fair market value in the Lease section of the code allows for this with the following definition:

"Fair market value" means the estimated price, which the property would bring in an open market and under the then prevailing market conditions in a sale between a willing seller and a willing buyer both conversant with the property and with prevailing general price levels. The fair market value may consist of the assessed value performed by the city assessor within two years of the date of the lease, if the lease is for the entire parcel assessed. For real property having an assessed value of greater than one hundred thousand dollars, an appraisal shall be made within ninety days of the date of the lease.

Ms. VonBargen said there are three reasons this is a significant problem. First, the assessed value of property (as recently reported by the Assessor) normally averages about 10% below appraised, or fair market value. Second, assessed values for leased property are calculated using a formula called possessory interest. The longer a lessee has remaining on a lease, the higher the

possessory interest and the closer the property is valued to the true assessed value. Conversely, leases with only short terms remaining have a very low possessory interest and the possessory interest is very low compared to the true assessed value. Depending on the time remaining on a lease the assessed value can be significantly lower than fair market appraised value. This has the potential to give a lessee an unfair competitive advantage by being able to lease land at well below market rate. Third, over the past 11 years the rental values have always been based on appraised values not assessed. Therefore, the code and the lease language need to be updated to reflect real world conditions and practices.

Ordinance #12-01 amends Section 4.08.010 of the Valdez Municipal Code by adding the definition of appraised value to this section of the code; and changes the definition of fair market value to eliminate the ability to use the assessed value to determine the value for rental rate purposes. The two definitions are now exactly the same as the definitions in place in Chapter 4.04 (Sale of City Owned Real Property).

Once the ordinance is adopted, there will be a resolution brought forward authorizing an amendment to the language in the ten leases where this issue exists. Each of the lessees have been notified by mail that the amendment is forthcoming.

VOTE ON THE MOTION: 4 yea, 3 absent (Prax/McCann/Sorum). Motion carried.

IX. RESOLUTIONS

1. #12-30 - Establishing the 2012 Rate of Real Property and Personal Property Tax and Designating the Number of Mills for Each Dollar of Real Property and Personal Property to be Levied for Municipal and School Purposes

MOTION: Council member Ables moved, seconded by Council member Moore, to approve Resolution #12-30.

VOTE ON THE MOTION: 4 yea, 3 absent (Prax/McCann/Sorum). Motion carried.

2. #12-31 - Amending the 2012 City Budget by Authorizing the Transfer of Funds from the Reserve Fund to the Capital Facilities Fund in the Amount of \$1,242,444 and Increasing the Appropriation in the Senior Center Carport Project in the Amount of \$750,000 and Increasing the Appropriation in the

Flood Mitigation Project in the Amount of \$492,444 and Authorizing Those Expenditures

MOTION: Council member Wells moved, seconded by Council member Moore, to approve Resolution #12-31.

VOTE ON THE MOTION: 4 yea, 3 absent (Sorum/Prax/McCann). Motion carried.

3. #12-32 - Relating to the City's Outstanding School and Harbor General Obligation Bonds; Providing for the Prepayment of all or a Portion of Such Bonds Pursuant to an Escrow Deposit Agreement Between the City and the Bank Of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A.; Authorizing the Execution of Such Escrow Deposit Agreement; and Authorizing, Ratifying and Confirming Actions Taken on Behalf of the City in Connection Therewith

MOTION: Council member Wells moved, seconded by Council member Moore, to approve Resolution #12-32.

VOTE ON THE MOTION: 4 yea, 3 absent (Prax/Sorum/McCann). Motion carried.

4. #12-33 - Amending the 2012 City Budget in the Reserve Fund and General Fund by Authorizing the Transfer from the Reserve Fund in the Amount of \$50,000 to the General Fund for Gasline Development and Authorizing its Expenditure

MOTION: Council member Wells moved, seconded by Council member Moore, to approve Resolution #12-33.

VOTE ON THE MOTION: 4 yea, 3 absent (Prax/Sorum/McCann). Motion carried.

X. REPORTS

1. Police/Jail Report
2. Investment Report

XI. COUNCIL BUSINESS FROM THE FLOOR

Council member Moore

Council member Moore expressed her concern regarding the absenteeism of council members which has required the postponement of meetings for lack of quorum. Mayor Cobb responded that he respected her concern however some reasons for absence may be unavoidable. He stated that any non-excusable

absence will be documented and will be dealt with as directed in the council policy and procedures.

- XII. COUNCIL TRAVEL
- XIII. ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business, Mayor Cobb adjourned the meeting at 9:30 pm.